# The Broad Ethical Perspectives of Data Sharing

Wael Al-Delaimy MD, PhD
Chief, Division of Global Health
University of California, San Diego

# **Data Sharing**

- Research-based
- Clinical effectiveness and quality improvement
  - Prevention
  - Diagnosis
  - Treatment
  - Research to advance practice
  - Teaching and training

# **Data Sharing**

- Risk-benefit balance
- -Risk of loss of privacy and confidentiality
- -Risk of infringing on the autonomy of subjects
- -Risk of unethical doubt manufacturing and conflict of interest
- -Benefit of health advancement and prevention
- -Benefit of Cost-effectiveness
- -Better research and study design in pooling data
- -Sustaining disciplines such as environmental epidemiology

# **Data Sharing**

#### Research Data type

- Questionnaire/written data
  - Re-analyses
  - New hypothesis
- Biomonitoring/Biobank data
  - Exposure assessment (new, re-analyze old)
  - Genetic/Omics assessment (new, re-analyze old)
- Linking datasets to create a new data set
  - Gene X Environment,
  - Medical records X Environmental exposures

#### Personal Identifiers

Name	Vehicle ID	ZipCode/address
Phone	License number	Medical Record
Fax	IP Address #	Health Plan number
email	Account #	age
SS	URL	
Biometrics	Device identifiers	
Face image	Other Unique identifiers	

#### Personal Identifiers

- All geographical subdivisions smaller than a State, including street address, city, county, precinct, zip code, and their equivalent geocodes,
- except for the initial three digits of a zip code, if :
  - (1) The geographic unit formed by combining all zip codes with the same three initial digits contains more than 20,000 people; and
  - (2) The initial three digits of a zip code for all such geographic units containing 20,000 or fewer people is changed to 000.

## The Players

- The researcher
  - The original investigator
  - The secondary investigator
- The subject and community
- The organization
  - Funding organization
  - The owner/storing organization

#### Researcher

- Original PI
  - Hypothesis
  - Appropriate design to answer the hypothesis
  - Consent
  - Ownership
  - Additional benefit from data sharing if involved
  - Burden and cost of data mining or maintenance
  - Transparency and not obstructive

#### Researcher

#### Secondary PI

- Hypothesis driven or post-hoc analyses
- Can the original design appropriately address the question?
- Conflict of interest (litigation, commercial)
- Consent of original subjects for this analyses
- Sharing of costs
- Level playing field: reciprocal data sharing for private entities

## Subject

- Volunteered their data and do not own it
- Autonomy to decide which study uses their data
- May not have consented if they were asked for an open consent
- Promised results and feedback
- May not be reached to be re-consented
- Concerned about privacy and confidentiality when changing hands

## The Community

- Requires involvement if Identifiable
- Indigenous populations (Havasupai Indians)
- Stigma
- Suffer Environmental Injustice
- Involvement in the original study approval process
- Can delay any data sharing
- Expects feedback and results

## **Data Sharing Organizations**

- HMO, e.g. Kaiser, Medicare (HIPAA, Data Linkage)
- State and County Departments of Health (IRB, State Regulations, inefficiency)
- Registries (HIPAA, Bureaucracy, inefficiency)
- Federal Government (EPA, CDC, NCHS) (Bureaucracy and politics, WB)
- Universities (Industry influence, IP, Revenue generating)
- Industry (Conflicts of interest)
- NIEHS (Funding)

#### **Data Sharing Access**

- Data users
  - Environmental sciences need it the most
    - Climate change
    - Water contamination
    - Desert storms
- Data protectionists
  - Growing identity theft
  - Business of selling personal information
- Data obstructionists
  - Cell phone companies
  - Faulty regulations

## Faulty Regulations

#### Pesticide levels among farmworkers

- UCSD IRB
- County Department of health
- Local community organization
- Farmworkers coalition
- Binational border health environmental task force
- California Department of Pesticide Regulation

#### Opposite Sides of the Table

- Data Owner
- -Requiring Zip codes for a publically available data set

- Data Seeker
- Re-contacting pre-consented participants

## International Setting

- Reconciling differences in regulations
- Lack of local IRBs and untrained researchers
- Transfer of data across borders
- The human genome
  - Quality; accessibility; responsibility of funders, generators, and users; security; transparency, accountability; integrity
- Data Sharing maximize global public benefit

#### Re-Analyses Guidelines

- Protecting the Public's interest
  - Cooperation of original authors, declaring conflicts of interest, independent advisory board created, agree on the hypothesis, proposal, results published regardless
- Protecting the Rights of Subjects
  - Respect privacy and consent for re-analyses
- Protecting the Right of the original and re-analyzing authors
  - Data ownership, open communication with original author, opportunity to comment before publication, allow original authors to publish first, providing funding
- Funding agencies establish guidelines on storage and access of data for secondary analyses

#### Public Health Surveillance Data

- Cancer Registries, Birth and Death Records
- Abuse of HIPAA and other Federal regulations
- More restrictive than anytime before
- De-identified linkage of data denied
- VA health data not reported to registries
- Negative impact on public health research and Practice
- Credit Agencies vs Health scientists
- Scientific community and professional organizations need to act

#### Data Sharing Ethical Challenges

- Inconsistency across IRBs and states
- Requiring multiple IRB approvals
- Verification of conflicts of interest
- Overcoming ownership obstacles
- Publication credit of Original vs Secondary Pl
- General reluctance of participants for open consent
- Prioritizing the use of finite biobank samples

## **Concluding Remarks**

- The NIEHS leverage as a funding agency
- Oversight of data sharing
- Provide funding for the process
- Sub committee to review ethical aspects
  - facilitates local IRB approval
  - Address conflicts of interest
  - Synchronize with the original data design and consent process
  - Data exchange and linkage

## **Concluding Remarks**

- Risk Stratification checklist
- Pro-data users
- Research ethics training
- Unethical conduct of research happens
- The researcher bears the ultimate moral responsibility towards the study subjects and the integrity of his/her work